IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO : 4922 of 1996

Between:

1 P.Bhaskar Reddy, S/o. P.Muni Reddy,
R/o. Tirupati.
2 P.Venugopal Reddy, S/o. P.Venkata Reddy,
R/o. Tirupati.
3 G.Thrivikrama Reddy, S/o. Chinna Mutyala Reddy,
R/o. Tirupati.
4 M.Nandakumara Reddy, S/o M.Chenga Reddy
R/o. Tirupati.
5 K.Muni Ramaiah, S/o. k.Muthylaiah,
R/o. Tirupati.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The State of A.P., rep.by it's Principal Secretary to Govt.,
Revenue (Endowment-III) Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad.
2 The Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams,Tirupathi, rep.by Executive officer,
Tirupathi, Chittoor Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to

issue an order or direction, more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents, to consider the cases of the petitioners for promotion to the post of Garden Maistries as per the existing rules issued in G.O.Ms.No.1060,Revenue (Endowment-I) Department, dt.24.10.1989 in the existing vacancies, without reference to any proposed Amendments as per G.O.Ms.No.193, Revenue (Endowments-III) Department, dt.19.2.1996,by holding that the existing vacancies are to be filled by operating the rules in existence i.e., the date on which the Vacancy arose the further directing the 1st respondent to consider the objections raised on 9.3.1996 by the qualified Gardners and examine the same, keeping in view of promotional channel of garden Maistries to the post of Fieldmen etc.,

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.T.S.RAMACHANDRA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No1: The G.P. for Endowments.

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MS.P.SARADA, S.C. for TTD.

The Court made the following:

Oral Order:

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

GODA RAGHURAM, J

Dt. 19-11-2004

Pvks/*

To

1 The Principal Secretary to Govt.,
Revenue (Endowment-III) Department, the State of A.P., Secretariat,
Hyderabad.

2.2CCs to the G.P. for Endowments, High Court of A.P., HYDERABAD (OUT).

2.2CD copies